

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/829,132

Applicant(s)

STARRETT, PAUL D.

Examiner

James R. Brittain

Art Unit

3677

## All Participants:

(1) James R. Brittain.

(2) Ernest V. Linek.

## Status of Application: \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 20 June 2007

Time: 11:50

## Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

## Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

## Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: I contacted Mr. Linek as a courtesy and inquired whether an appeal brief or other communication was being submitted to the Office after the Notice of Appeal received on November 27, 2006 and Mr. Linek indicated no brief or other communication was being sent to the Office. I indicated that I would then prepare and have mailed a Notice of Abandonment.